Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

| <i>)</i> | |
|----------|-----------------------|
|) | |
|) | WT Docket No. 98-136 |
|) | |
|) | |
|) | |
| |))))) |

To: The Commission

REPLY COMMENTS OF ANGEL TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

OCT 1 3 1998

-DERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

OFFICE OF THE STORM

Angel Technologies Corporation ("Angel"), by counsel, hereby submits its reply comments in the above-captioned proceeding. In these reply comments, Angel urges the Commission to resolve this proceeding so that the benefits of high altitude long operation platforms ("HALOs") can be expeditiously brought to the public.

In its initial comments. Angel supported the Commission's proposal to allow operation of all services in the 47 GHz band permitted in the United States Table of Allocations. Although Angel supported the proposed technical rules, it did suggest several changes as a means to simplify coordination of stratospheric platforms. Specifically Angel encouraged the Commission to increase the proposed 16 dBW EIRP power limit for 47 GHz stations to 44 dBW. In a related matter, Angel urged the Commission to adopt in Section 27.4 of the Commission's Rules the following definition of HAPS:

A station located on an object at an altitude of 15-50 km and at a specified fixed point relative to the Earth.

No. of Copies recid. List ABCDE This definition will enable multiple HAPS technologies, including HALOs, to flourish in the 47 GHz band. Angel believes that adoption of the rules proposed by the Commission with the few changes suggested by Angel in its comments will allow 47 GHz service to develop.

Except for a few specific issues discussed below, the other commenters generally supported the Commission's proposals and Angel's comments. Specifically, several satellite interests, including Hughes Communications, Inc., Lockheed Martin Corporation and the Satellite Industry Association, argued that there is not sufficient protection to allow the Fixed Satellite Service to operate in adjacent territories or to protect the Fixed Satellite Service from out-of-band emissions caused by High Altitude Platforms ("HAPS"). Angel does not believe it is possible for Fixed Satellite Service systems and HAPS to share the same frequency in the same territory. However, it does believe that the coordination procedures specified in Section 101.103 of the Commission's Rules is a suitable mechanism to insure that Fixed Satellite Service and HAPS systems can operate in a compatible manner in adjacent REAGS. It therefore urges the Commission to adopt the proposals on coordination in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. Likewise, Angel concurs with the Commission's view that spurious emissions and frequency stability requirements be applied to out-of-band emissions. Specifically, Angel believes that 4° GHz band licensees should be required to

Angel does believe that it may be possible for a HAPS system to share spectrum with a terrestrial system in the same frequency in the same territory. Given the complex coordination issues, however, Angel believes that the 47 GHz licensee should determine how to best deploy technology in a particular region. The licensee should be allowed to operate HAPS and terrestrial systems in the same spectrum using band segmentation or any other approach as deemed appropriate. These deployment decisions should be based on the business and technical requirements of the licensee.

See Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in WT Docket No. 98-136, FCC 98-142, released July 29, 1998 at paras. 137-138.

attenuate power below the transmitter power (P) by at least 43 + 10 log 10(P), or 80 decibels,

whichever is less, for any emission on all frequencies outside the licensee's authorized channel.

The National Research Council ("NRC") and National Radio Astronomy Observatory

expressed concern that Radio Astronomy Service ("RAS") operators in the 42.5-43.5 and

48.94-49.04 GHz bands might be harmed by out-of-band and spurious emissions caused by HAPS

operation. NRC urges the Commission to wait until after WRC-00 addresses questions relating to

this issue. Angel disagrees. Specifically, Angel believes that the Commission's coordination

proposals are sufficient to protect the RAS. To the extent that WRC-00 determines that RAS needs

specific protection, such protection can be accommodated in a manner consistent with the proposed

coordination process.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert A. Mazer

Albert Shuldiner

Vinson & Elkins L.L.P.

1455 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004

(202) 639-6500

Counsel to Angel Technologies Corporation

Dated: October 13, 1998

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 13th day of October, 1998, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Reply Comments of Angel Technologies Corporation was served by first class mail, postage prepaid, upon the following:

Paul J. Feldman, Esq.
Fletcher, Heald & Hildreth, PLC
1300 North 17th Street, 11th Floor
Rosslyn, VA 22209

Counsel for National Academy of Sciences'
Committee on Radio Frequencies

Jonathan D. Blake
Gerald J. Waldron
Lee J. Tiedrick
Philip J. Rosenthal
Covington & Burling
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
P. O. Box 7566
Washington, D.C. 20044-7566
Counsel for Sky Station International, Inc.

Stephen Piper
Vice President and General Counsel
Lockheed Martin
Global Telecommunications
6801 Rockledge Drive
Bethesda, MD 20817

Gerald Musarra
Vice President, Government and Regulatory Affairs
Lockheed Martin
Global Telecommunications
Crystal Square 2, Suite 300
1725 Jefferson Davis Highway
Arlington, VA 22202

Gary M. Epstein
John P. Janka
Arthur S. Landerholm
Latham & Watkins
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 1300
Washington, D.C. 20004
Counsel for Hughes Communications, Inc.

Paul A. Vanden Bout, Director National Radio Astronomy Observatory 520 Edgemont Road Charlottesville, VA 22903-2475

Lon Levin Gerald Musarra Clayton Mowry Satellite Industry Association 225 Reinekers Lane, Suite 600 Alexandria, VA 22314

real of Mayer